

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO**

**MARGARET MIKE, WILLIAM MIKE,
SHANNON MIKE, and GEORGETTE MIKE,**

Plaintiffs,

v.

No. 12-cv-1215 KG/SMV

**TERRY MCCOY, STEVE JACKSON,
DAVE MCCALL, MANASSEH BEGAY,
KORY FAULK, WALTER NICKERSON,
DAVID KING, and UNKNOWN PERSONS,**

Defendants.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

THIS MATTER is before the Court sua sponte. Plaintiffs filed their Complaint on November 26, 2012. [Doc. 1]. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m) (2007) (amended 2015), Plaintiffs had 120 days from filing the Complaint within which to effect service of process. However, more than *three years* have passed, and there is no indication on the record that service of process has been effected with respect to the Defendant Kory Faulk.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Plaintiffs show good cause why their claims against Defendant Kory Faulk should not be dismissed without prejudice for failure to comply with the service provision of Rule 4(m). *See Espinoza v. United States*, 52 F.3d 838, 841 (10th Cir. 1995). Plaintiffs shall file their response no later than **March 15, 2016**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.



STEPHAN M. VIDMAR
United States Magistrate Judge